



Celinda Lake President	To:	Interested Parties			
Alysia Snell Partner	From:	Lake Research Partners & Bellwether Research			
	Re:	Survey Findings on M	ountaintop Remova	l Strip Mining	
Michael Perry Partner	Date:	August 3, 2011			
David Mermin Partner Robert G. Meadow, Ph.D. Partner Daniel R. Gotoff Partner	and br stream mining elector weake	nt survey of likely vot road-based support fo ns, rivers, and lakes fr g. Voters in Appalach ral choices, and are fa n environmental pro ikely to support those	or strengthening th om the damage cau nia would also use r less likely to supp tections on mount	e Clean Water A used by mountain this issue as port public officia tain top remova	Act to protect n top removal a criterion in als who would al mining and
Joshua E. Ulibarri Partner Tresa Undem Vice President Rick A. Johnson	mount demog divides suppor and	rt fully enforcing— even increasing	mining, by wide n Fully Enforce Water Act to	-	oss a host of ctions in Clean ams, Rivers, &
Managing Director Robert X. Hillman Chief Financial Officer	Water stream in th mount coal m	tions in—the Clean Act to safeguard ns, rivers, and lakes eir states from caintop removal nining. Fully 76% of across these four	76		
	majori way st voters	support this sal, including a 62% ty who feel that trongly. Just 8% of oppose it. <b>Support</b> is proposal is far-	Support	<b>8</b> Oppose	15 Don't Know

<sup>1</sup> Lake Research Partners designed and administered this survey that was conducted by telephone using professional interviewers. The survey reached a total of 1315 likely voters in Kentucky, West Virginia, Tennessee, and Virginia, including oversamples in Kentucky and West Virginia. The survey was conducted July 25 - 31, 2011. The margin of error for the full sample was +/- 2.8%.

1726 M Street, NW | Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20036 | T 202.776.9066 | F 202.776.9074 WASHINGTON, DC | BERKELEY, CA | NEW YORK, NY | LOS ANGELES, CA | RICHMOND, VA www.lakeresearch.com

## solid majorities of Democrats (86%), independents (76%), Republicans (71%), and Tea Party supporters (67%).

Asked about mountaintop removal outside the context of the Clean Water Act, 38% oppose the practice compared to just 24% who support it, and another 38% of voters who are unsure. When voters are provided with a brief description of the practice, opposition takes on majority status and garners noticeable intensity. That description is as follows: "coal companies in [STATE] mine coal from mountains through a process called mountaintop removal mining where the top of a mountain is removed to extract the coal and waste is disposed in nearby valleys and streams". In this scenario, fully 57% oppose mountaintop removal and with noticeable intensity (42% strongly oppose), compared to just 20% who support it (10% strongly). On this measure, too, public opinion crosses typical political boundaries, including 64% of Democrats, 60% of independents, and even a 51% majority of Republicans.

Efforts to associate opponents of mountaintop removal with economic costs fly in the face of public skepticism. In fact, solid majorities of voters in these Appalachian states believe either that "environmental protections are often good for the economy" (40%) or "have little or no impact on the economy" (20%). Just one-quarter of voters (25%) believes that "environmental protections are often bad for the economy".

Perhaps it is not surprising then that simulating an engaged debate over mountaintop removal results in a 2:1 ratio of opposition to support, with fully half of voters opposed (50%, including 34% strong oppose) and just 27% in favor of the practice (including 14% strong support).

Now, I would like to read you two statements about mountaintop removal mining... [ROTATE]

\_(Some/Other) people say that coal is an (Some/Other) believe mountaintop removal is important part of America's economy and bad for the economy and the environment and is national security, and killing jobs is the wrong causing ongoing harm to nearby communities, thing to do in this recession. Nearly half of all including increasing the number of birth defects electricity produced in the U.S. is from coal, and other serious health conditions. Mountaintop and increasing coal mining and using the removal replaces workers with machines and most efficient methods frees America from explosives. It has filled nearly 2,000 miles of our dependence on foreign oil, keeps energy Appalachian streams with billions of tons of mining costs low, grows jobs and the local economy. waste, killing wildlife and destroying forests.

Sometimes over the course of a survey like this people change their minds. Do you favor or oppose mountaintop removal mining or are you unsure?

Favor: 27% (14% strongly)

Oppose: 50% (34% strongly)

Don't know: 23%

In addition, this is a voting issue in these states, as the electorate here is overwhelmingly *less* likely to support elected officials who are in favor of weakening environmental regulations on mountaintop removal mining (48% less likely, 15% more likely, 38% no difference). By the same token, these voters are prepared to reward elected officials who support strengthening environmental regulations on mountaintop removal mining (48% more likely, 14% less likely, 38% no difference).

Bottom Line: Mountaintop removal is an unpopular practice, which voters oppose by wide margins and across party lines. Placed in the context of the Clean Water Act, strengthening protections on streams, rivers, and lakes from the damage caused by mountaintop removal mining surges to beyond three-quarters of the electorate in these states. Finally, strongly worded attacks on opponents of mountaintop removal mining fail to gain traction; opposition to mountaintop removal mining continues to best support by roughly a 2:1 margin.